

Sandy Bacik

From: Sandy Bacik
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2012 4:57 PM
To: csctgtesting@nist.gov
Subject: CSWG Testing & Certification minutes from 20120214

Our twiki site: <http://collaborate.nist.gov/twiki-sggrid/bin/view/SmartGrid/CSCTGTesting>

Co-Leads: Nelson Hastings (nelson.hastings@nist.gov), Sandy Bacik (sandy.bacik@enernex.com)

20120214 Minutes

1. Current tasks

- a. We reviewed the currently posted comments resolutions (https://collaborate.nist.gov/twiki-sggrid/pub/SmartGrid/CSCTGTesting/Assessment_Guide_Comments_and_Resolutions_2012012a.xls) and the current Assessment Guide (https://collaborate.nist.gov/twiki-sggrid/pub/SmartGrid/CSCTGTesting/NISTIR_7628_Assessment_Guide-v0p9-02112012.doc).
- b. Comments were assessed against Assessment Guide v0p9 that was sent to the SGTCC and the CSWG.
- c. Marianne Swanson and Nelson Hastings assessed the comments and updated the document. Only the body of the document has been currently reviewed and the appendices need to be completed.
 - i. There were several comments on grammar and consistency and they will be reviewed and incorporated after the resolution of the other comments.
 - ii. Comments on updating the Figure 1 (Smart Grid Security Requirement Assessment Process Overview) have not been completed at this time.
- d. We started reviewing each individual document comment on the call and discussed consensus with those on the call:
 - i. Comments #12 and #13 had consensus on the call for implementing an Executive Summary.
 - ii. Comments #97, #98, #99 remain open until the SGIP Administrator updates the SGIP word document template.
 - iii. Comment #15 had consensus on the call for updating the Introduction section.
 - iv. Comment #100 had consensus on the call that this comment was not relevant because paragraph was removed based on another comment.
 - v. Comment #57 had consensus on the call there would be no change to the text.
 - vi. Comment #58 had consensus on the call to correct the grammar.
 - vii. Comment #145 had consensus on the call that the figure should not be in the Executive Summary and be moved to the Introduction.
 - viii. Comment #16 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change.
 - ix. Comment #17 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change.
 - x. Comment #19 had consensus on the call to accept the changes proposed by Marianne and Nelson.
 - xi. Comment #18 had consensus on the call to accept the changes proposed by Marianne and Nelson.
 - xii. Comment #21 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change.
 - xiii. Comment #22 had consensus on the call to not accept the change based on Marianne's and Nelson's comments.
 - xiv. *Comment #147 will need to be revisited because this applies to updating the field content then updating the field locations within the word document and not updating the actual text in the document where there was a field.*
 - xv. Comment #23 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change.
 - xvi. Comment #148 had consensus on the call that this comment was not relevant because paragraph was changed based on another comment.
 - xvii. Comment #24 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change.
 - xviii. Comment #25 had consensus on the call to accept the concept of roles and responsibilities and placed it in another section.

- xix. Comment #26 had consensus on the call to accept the concept of roles and responsibilities and placed it in another section.
- xx. Comment #27 had consensus on the call to add the roles to Figure 1 (Smart Grid Security Requirement Assessment Process Overview).
- xxi. Comment #28 had consensus on the call to not accept the change based on Marianne's and Nelson's comments.
- xxii. Comment #61 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change.
- xxiii. Comment #62 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change and to change "employed" to "implemented".
- xxiv. Comment #31 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change and to make a global change to "security assessment".
- xxv. Comment #33 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change and this was moved to the roles and responsibilities section.
- xxvi. Comment #34 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change and this was moved to the roles and responsibilities section.
- xxvii. Comment #35 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change and this was moved to the roles and responsibilities section.
- xxviii. Comment #38 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change.
- xxix. *Comment #39 will need to be revisited to better understand using "cybersecurity system plan" versus "security plan".*
- xxx. *Comment #40 will need to be revisited for placement of the wording and the consistency of "Smart Grid information system owner" usage in the document.*
- xxxi. We will continue reviewing the comments on our next call.

2. Attendees

- a. Marianne Swanson
- b. Nelson Hastings
- c. Robert Sargent
- d. Sandy Bacik
- e. Scott Shorter
- f. Vicky Pillitteri

Regards,

Sandy Bacik, CISSP, CISM, ISSMP, CGEIT

Principal Consultant

EnerNeX

p: 865.696.4470

e: sandy.bacik@enernex.com // www.enernex.com